UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,799	06/07/2005	Hideo Nagata	L9289.05143	6504
	7590 04/30/200 VRIGHT PLLC	EXAMINER		
1901 L STREE	ΓNW	LAM, KENNETH T		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/9	537,799	NAGATA ET AL	<del></del>			
Office Action Summary			miner	Art Unit	1			
		KEN	INETH LAM	2611				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears	on the cover shee	t with the correspondence	address			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this composition of period for reply is specified above, the maximum is reto reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE ( s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I munication. statutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMU n no event, however, may y and will expire SIX (6) the application to becom	JNICATION.  ay a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 07 lune 21	005					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 June 2005</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□		<i>′</i> —		natters prosecution as to t	he merits is			
<u>ا</u> ر	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·	•					
· · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the a	nnlication						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
,	6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or elec	tion requirement					
	ion Papers		·					
	-	a Evaminar						
• —	The specification is objected to by the drawing (s) filed on 07 June 200		scented or b\□ (	phicated to by the Evernine	٠,			
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 June 2005</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<u> </u>	_			0 0 1 1 0 ( ) ( ) ( )				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	i for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) <sub>l</sub>	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority				-1.04			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application								
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/07/2005</u> .		· —					

Application/Control Number: 10/537,799 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,799

Art Unit: 2611

Re Claims 1, 3 and 4 recite "a compensation computation section that generates a compensation signal for suppressing distortion components of said baseband signal so that a first phase component and a first amplitude component when power is identical in said compensation signal differ when current power measured by said power calculation section is rising with respect to past power and when current power measured by said power calculation section is falling with respect to past power", the recited claim limitation is unclear to the examiner. The claim limitation could means "a first phase component differs from a first amplitude component" or "the current power differs from the past power". For prior art rejection on the merits, the Examiner construed the claim limitation as: "a compensation computation section that generates a compensation signal for suppressing distortion components of said baseband signal so that said compensation signal differ when compare to the current power measured by said power calculation section is rising with respect to past power and when current power measured by said power calculation section is falling with respect to past power".

Page 3

Claim 2 recites "said compensation computation section detects said distortion components that are nonlinear and for which a second amplitude component and a second phase component when power is identical in said distortion components differ when current power measured by said power calculation section is rising with respect to past power and when current power measured by said power calculation section is falling with respect to past power", the recited claim limitation is unclear to the examiner. The claim limitation could means "a first phase component differs from a first amplitude component" or "the current power differs from the past power". For prior art rejection on

the merits, the Examiner construed the claim limitation as: "said compensation computation section detects said distortion components that are nonlinear and for which said distortion components differ when current power measured by said power calculation section is rising with respect to past power and when current power measured by said power calculation section is falling with respect to past power".

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in **Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966)**, that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows: (See MPEP Ch. 2141)

- a. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art;
- b. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims in issue;
- c. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art; and
- d. Evaluating evidence of secondary considerations for indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ode et al. (Ode herein after) (US 2001/0007435 A1).

Re Claim 1, Ode discloses a distortion compensation apparatus comprising:

a power calculation section (Power calculation unit **31**, Figure 30) that measures baseband signal power at predetermined time intervals ([0205]);

a compensation computation section (Distortion Compensation Coefficient Calculation Unit **27**, Figure 30) that generates a compensation signal for suppressing distortion components of said baseband signal so that said compensation signal differ when compare to the current power measured by said power calculation section is rising with respect to past power and when current power measured by said power calculation section is falling with respect to past power ([0206]-[0210]);

a compensation signal combining section (Predistortion Unit **23**, Figure 30) that combines said compensation signal generated by said compensation computation section with said baseband signal ([0207]); and

an amplification section (Power amplifier **21**, Figure 30) that suppresses with said compensation signal said distortion components generated during amplification by amplifying said baseband signal with which said compensation signal is combined by said compensation signal combining section ([0207]).

Ode discloses the claimed invention except for current power measured by said power calculation section is rising with respect to past power and when current power measured by said power calculation section is falling with respect to past power. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to explicitly state the raising and falling with respect to past power solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with by detecting the difference between the transmit signal before the distortion compensation and the

feedback signal with the distortion compensation.

Re Claim 2, Ode discloses the distortion compensation apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said compensation computation section detects said distortion components that are nonlinear ([0209]) and for which said distortion components differ when current power measured by said power calculation section is rising with respect to past power and when current power measured by said power calculation section is falling with respect to past ([0207]), and generates said compensation signal that has said first amplitude component and said first phase component that are symmetrical to said second amplitude component and said second phase component in detected said distortion components with respect to a fixed value of said second amplitude component and said second phase components have a linear characteristic ([0210]).

Re Claim 3, Ode discloses a transmitting apparatus provided with a distortion compensation apparatus, said distortion compensation apparatus comprising:

a power calculation section (Power calculation unit **31**, Figure 30) that measures baseband signal power at predetermined time intervals ([0205]);

a compensation computation section (Distortion Compensation Coefficient Calculation Unit **27**, Figure 30) that generates a compensation signal for suppressing distortion components of said baseband signal so that said compensation signal differ when compare to the current power measured by said power calculation section is

rising with respect to past power and when current power measured by said power calculation section is falling with respect to past power ([0206]-[0210]);

a compensation signal combining section (Predistortion Unit **23**, Figure 30) that combines said compensation signal generated by said compensation computation section with said baseband signal ([0207]); and

an amplification section (Power amplifier **21**, Figure 30) that suppresses with said compensation signal said distortion components generated during amplification by amplifying said baseband signal with which said compensation signal is combined by said compensation signal combining section ([0207]).

Ode discloses the claimed invention except for current power measured by said power calculation section is rising with respect to past power and when current power measured by said power calculation section is falling with respect to past power. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to explicitly state the raising and falling with respect to past power solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with by detecting the difference between the transmit signal before the distortion compensation and the feedback signal with the distortion compensation.

Re Claim 4, Ode discloses a distortion compensation method comprising:
a step of measuring baseband signal power at predetermined time intervals
(Power calculation unit **31**, Figure 30 [0205]);

Application/Control Number: 10/537,799 Page 8

Art Unit: 2611

a step of generating a compensation signal for suppressing distortion components of said baseband signal so that said compensation signal differ when compare to the current power measured by said power calculation section is rising with respect to past power and when current power measured by said power calculation section is falling with respect to past power (Distortion Compensation Coefficient Calculation Unit **27**, Figure 30 [0206]-[0210]);

a step of combining generated said compensation signal with said baseband signal (Predistortion Unit 23, Figure 30 [0207]); and

a step of suppressing with said compensation signal said distortion components generated during amplification by amplifying said baseband signal with which said compensation signal is combined (Power amplifier **21**, Figure 30 [0207]).

Ode discloses the claimed invention except for current power measured by said power calculation section is rising with respect to past power and when current power measured by said power calculation section is falling with respect to past power. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to explicitly state the raising and falling with respect to past power solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with by detecting the difference between the transmit signal before the distortion compensation and the feedback signal with the distortion compensation.

#### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/537,799 Page 9

Art Unit: 2611

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KENNETH LAM whose telephone number is (571)270-1862. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thu 7:30 am - 5:00 pm EST ALT Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shuwang Liu can be reached on (571) 272-3036. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/KENNETH LAM/
Examiner, Art Unit 2611
04/25/2008
/Shuwang Liu/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611